Approved For Release 2003/05/27 TCIA-RDP84-00780R005000020036-8

ETERNAL USE ONLY

BD/S BESTOTE
BILE Laining

17 April 1972

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

for the slotting of Agency personnel so discussed at great length during the pa	opropriate administrative arrangements erving at senior schools has been ast six to nine months. With the recent	STAT
decision not to replace Messrs.		
at the Army War College, the problen	n of slotting for OTR has been eliminated	l.
the problem is apparent only in OTR ε	isher's memorandum of 26 July 1971, and believe that there would be limited at has been established for some time.	
		STAT
	Career Management Officer Deputy Director for Support	01/(1



26 JUL 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT

: Personnel Slotting of Agency Representatives at Senior

Schools

REFERENCE

: Memo for D/Pers fr ADD/S dtd 1 July 71, Same Subject

1. As you well know the Agency always has a number of employees on non-reimbursable detail to other Agencies. Currently there are 28 in this status. Agency representatives at Senior Schools are a unique, but just one more type of non-reimbursable detail. The four OTR employees identified in memorandum are not included in these figures because OTR has not cut actions to place them in that category. The 28 are handled as follows:

- a. Clericals (5) assigned to TAS and then detailed. These employees therefore present no ceiling problem.
- b. Some of the 23 professional personnel remain slotted in their component positions during the detail.
- c. The remainder of the 23 are carried in the development complement within their component. This is the proper method when they are basically not doing productive work for the Agency.
- 2. Under either b. or c. above, the component furnishing the 23 professionals is absorbing the count against ceiling. OTR, facing a ceiling squeeze, obviously finds that these additional four detailees compounds their problem.
- 3. Assigning these four men to the development complement at the Directorate level obviously just shifts the problem to that higher level and carries with it the requirement to provide administrative support to the OTR careerists.
- 4. It has also been suggested that a special development complement be established for all Senior School representatives. This would raise the question of why shouldn't all non-reimbursable details be handled in the same manner. Obviously, with no apparent problem elsewhere, even for the non-OTR school representatives, there will be little inclination to change the procedure.

STAT

#### Approved For Release 2003/05/27: GIA-RDR84-00780R005000020036-8

5. One other suggested solution is to give OTR ceiling relief by a temporary increase in authorized strength. Again this action could be questioned by other components providing such details, but it could be around that OTR has more than a fair share
6. If you wanted to try the methods discussed in either paragraph four or five above, obtaining the ceiling will be the major and possibly insurmountable obstacle. Whether this ceiling should come from the CT Program or elsewhere is a management decision which would have to be approved by OPPB/Executive Director-Comptroller. I recognized that I haven't been too helpful nor have I told you anything you don't already know. It is unfortunate but logical that OTR has so many good candidates for the Senior School. I am sure that you are sympathetic to the OTR problem and you could authorize them to operate over ceiling by four in the hope that this overage could be absorbed at the end of the year (by underages) elsewhere in the DDS.
7. In any event, pending a better resolution of their problem. OTR
Harry B. Fisher Director of Personnel

Att

то			DATE	INITIALS
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		E REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	<del>                                     </del>	MENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
l.				
	for red	rjon per tentin:		

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

DD/S 71-2573

25X1

25X1 25X1

1 JUI

SUBIRCT

Personnel Slotting of Agency Representatives at

	Senior School	ols	
Hari	ry:		
the Office of Traini Senior Schools, and It appears that ther	e should be a mean be kept on the book	lem of having per as Agency repro- enproductive to the s whereby perso	esentatives at the ne Office of Training. nnel assigned to the
Complement. For Complement could requirements, it as six Senior Schools the Career Training	lement similar to the administration, income be handled by the Oppears that seven p	he Career Trainicluding budgeting office of Training ositions would color War College: ler ceiling, possible ceiling, po	the Development  Based on present  wer the present  requirement. With  bly these seven
	3.	have had	informal discussions
on this subject with		LESTO REC	each of whom has
	nanner of administe	ring this type of	
			ming back with some
recommendations a	is to how it can be i	managed in the m	nost economical fashion.
ACMO/DDS:	(30 Jun 71)	15/16	Ś
1 - 8890	ofir on o	Robert S.	Wattles
	the he way wiscon?	Assistant Deput	ty Director
1 - DD/3	· ·	for Sup	part
	មាញ់ ។ ្		
	Jane Wiatt 25 2		LROUP Townshel
Memo frin DTR			
Subj: Administra	tive Arrangements	Schoolee	Cuciaci yan man
Agency rersonne	tive Arrangements I Serving at Senior	CRET	The particular section of

25X1



# SEGRET

The latter four officers are ST careerists and are administratively controlled by OTR. The selection of candidates for the National War	
College are handled directly by the DDI and approval of the DCI is	
obtained. Courtesy copies of the correspondence is usually supplied	
OTR although we have no formal responsibility in the selection or	
administration of the officer. Mr. assignment resulted	25X1
from a name request to the Director from the Commandant of the	
Naval War College. Again OTR has no formal responsibility for	
selection and administration, however, the nature of his duties	
necessitates close coordination with this office. Mr. will	25X1
complete a three-year tour in June 1972 and presumably we will be asked to supply a replacement officer.	

Due to staffing restrictions within OTR we have carried our and NIS against two OTR three representatives to DIS, 25X1 positions through the double slotting mechanism. We are now questioning the necessity for continuation of this arrangement and are seeking administrative arrangements to provide some relief. It would seem logical that all personnel in this category be carried in the Development Complement, however, even if this is approved OTR must still carry four officers against our overall personnel ceiling when these officers are basically non-productive to OTR. You may recall that due to the limited value to OTR of these positions we attempted to eliminate these positions during our FY-72 reduction exercise. We were advised, however, that deletion of these positions was not approved by higher authorities. The propriety of the method of slotting was not challenged at that time nor was there any discussion of an alternative method such as assigning them to a Development Complement at or above the Directorate level.

2. Perhaps now is the time for a review of the role of these representatives and a determination made as to the proper slotting mechanism. I do not believe that OTR should be forced to absorb four representatives against our reduced personnel ceiling. One alternative would be to carry them on the Development Complement at the Directorate level or higher; another alternative would be a



## SEGRET

temporary increase to the personnel ceiling of OTR where routine administration could still be handled as in the past without infringement of the personnel ceiling or damage to our ability to carry out the primary OTR mission.

3. I would be glad to discuss this subject with you at your convenience and would appreciate any thoughts you may have as to the best solution.

25X1

HUGH T. CUNNINGHAM Director of Training

#### SECRET

The latter four officers are ST careerists and are administratively controlled by OTR. The selection of candidates for the National War College are handled directly by the DDI and approval of the DCI is obtained. Courtesy copies of the correspondence is usually supplied OTR although we have no formal responsibility in the selection or administration of the officer. Mr. assignment resulted 25X1 from a name request to the Director from the Commandant of the Naval War College. Again OTR has no formal responsibility for selection and administration, however, the nature of his duties necessitates close coordination with this office. Mr. will 25X1 complete a three-year tour in June 1972 and presumably we will be asked to supply a replacement officer.
Due to staffing restrictions within OTR we have carried our three representatives to DIS, and NIS against two OTR 25X1 positions through the double slotting mechanism. We are now questioning the necessity for continuation of this arrangement and are seeking administrative arrangements to provide some relief. It would seem logical that all personnel in this category be carried in the Development Complement, however, even if this is approved OTR must still carry four officers against our overall personnel ceiling when these officers are basically non-productive to OTR. You may recall that due to the limited value to OTR of these positions we attempted to eliminate these

2. Perhaps now is the time for a review of the role of these representatives and a determination made as to the proper slotting mechanism. I do not believe that OTR should be forced to absorb four representatives against our reduced personnel ceiling. One alternative would be to carry them on the Development Complement at the Directorate level or higher; another alternative would be a

positions during our FY-72 reduction exercise. We were advised, however, that deletion of these positions was not approved by higher authorities. The propriety of the method of slotting was not challenged at that time nor was there any discussion of an alternative method such

as assigning them to a Development Complement at or above the

Directorate level.



## SECRET

temporary increase to the personnel ceiling of OTR where routine administration could still be handled as in the past without infringement of the personnel ceiling or damage to our ability to carry out the primary OTR mission.

3. I would be glad to discuss this subject with you at your convenience and would appreciate any thoughts you may have as to the best solution.

		25X <sup>-</sup>
for	Director of Training	

SECRET

